

## AFFIDAVIT FOR SEARCH WARRANT COUNTY OF COCHISE, STATE OF ARIZONA sw No 2014000



#### YOUR AFFIANT, DETECTIVE RYAN OLMSTEAD #1419

a peace officer in the State of Arizona, being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

On December 27th, 2018 in the County of Cochise, State of Arizona, the crime(s) of

- ARS 13-3408A1, Possession of a Narcotic Drug, a class 4 felony
- ARS 13-3405A1, Possession of a Marijuana, a class 6 felony
- ARS 13-3415A, Possession, Manufacture, Delivery And Advertisment Of Drug Paraphernalia, a class 6 felony

(was)(is being) committed by Michelle Aranzullo, W/F, 12/25/1966

#### THAT THE AFFIANT has probable cause to believe that

- In the cellular telephone(s) and/or other forms of electronic communication in the [x]possession of the Cochise County Sheriff's Office, seized during a Cochise County Sheriff's Office Investigation that occurred on December 27th, 2018 at Avenida Escuela & Fry Blvd, Sierra Vista, AZ, and is impounded in CCSO Evidence under Item #1419-6 and can be described as:
  - 1.) One Black Samsung Galaxy S9 Cellphone, (IMEI#356417097200527) impounded as item #1419-6

In the County of Cochise, AZ, there is now being possessed or concealed certain property, persons or things which

were used as a means for committing a public offense [x] [x]

is (are) being possessed with the intent to use as a means of committing a public offense

constitutes evidence tending to show that a public offense has been committed, or [x] tending to show unknown suspects yet to be identified such public offense being:

- ARS 13-3408A1, Possession of a Narcotic Drug, a class 4 felony
- ARS 13-3405A1, Possession of a Marijuana, a class 6 felony
- ARS 13-3415A, Possession, Manufacture, Delivery And Advertisment Of Drug Paraphernalia, a class 6 felony

which occurred on December 27th, 2018 at Avenida Escuela & Fry Blvd, Sierra Vista, AZ and is impounded in CCSO Evidence under Item #1419-6 and can be described as:

1.) One Black Samsung Galaxy S9 Cellphone, (IMEI#356417097200527) impounded as item #1419-6

### That said property, persons or things are described as follows:

Electronic data contained within the cellular phone(s)

Detective Ryan Olmstead #1419 is requesting this warrant be approved for the cellular phone(s) and other electronic storage devices identified above so that a full and thorough examination may be conducted of these devices.

Note, a cellular phone is described as an electronic device used to make cellular telephone calls across a wide geographic area.

A cellular phone can make and receive telephone calls to and from the public telephone network which includes other mobiles and fixed-line phones across the world. It does this by connecting to a cellular network owned by a mobile network operator.

In addition to functioning as a telephone, a modern cellular phone typically supports additional services such as SMS messaging, MMS, e-mail and Internet access; short-range wireless (infrared or Bluetooth) communications; as well as business and gaming applications, and photography. Cellular phones that offer advanced computing abilities are referred to as smartphones.

During this examination the following data will be attempted to be extracted:

Call logs, to include incoming, outgoing and missed calls

Phonebook and contacts to include phone numbers, and e-mail addresses.

SMS / MMS messages and attached multimedia files, to include incoming and outgoing.

Secondary SMS/PTP applications and messages to include, WhatsApp, Instagram, KIK, TextPlus and others

E-mails to include incoming and outgoing

Pictures and all ExIF information to include geotagging information (GPS location of the location of the picture.)

Videos and geotagging information

Audio files to include any voicemail stored on the device and voice notes

Secondary phone number accounts such as Skype, Line 2 and other applications that can assign a second roaming phone number.

WiFi network information, to include SSID (Network name) and GPS information of the network

**GPS** directions

Calendar information, including sync'd calendars

Internet History and usage to including websites visited, search terms and Cookies And any account information, settings, and saved usage information for any and all installed applications, also known as "apps" on the device.

# Outline of warrant service time, days, and reasonable period to be expected to retrieve requested information.

A forensic search of a cellular phone may include a physical examination, photographic examination, a logical extraction of data, a physical extraction of data or a complete data "dump" in an effort to retrieve numbers, names, photos, e-mails, contact lists, and a myriad of other data contained in modern smart phones. Sophistication of the device, levels of security, passing passcodes, downloading large quantities of data and memory from the device, availability of photographic and forensic equipment and number of phones to be searched all effect the amount of time the forensic examiner would need to complete the warrant.

Typically, only one device can be analyzed or extracted at a time due to specialized equipment, managing the information, and controlling the forensic environment. It is not unusual for modern "Smart" phones to take 8-12 hours to download each and then this information needs to be examined to see if any requested data was missed or corrupt, requiring additional methods of examination. The examination period typically lasts between one and five days for several phones, though extended periods of time may be required based on the sophistication and quantity of devices requested.

Your affiant will begin the "search" when the devices have been placed in the custody of a certified cellular device examiner who will begin by checking that the devices first match the description provided in the warrant and will end when the last device has been searched or attempted to be searched based on the request and criteria of the examination.

Once this is complete, the warrant will be returned to the court with an explanation of the examination(s) and if they were successful, and assuming no physical property was retained during the examination, the data will then be provided to the case agent and will then be available to the court as requested.

The following facts establish probable cause for believing that grounds for the issuance of a search warrant for the aforementioned property, persons or things exist:

On 12-27-18 at approximately 1902 hours your affiant was riding in Det. Reibschied's unmarked patrol vehicle as a passenger. We were traveling N/B on Avenida Escuela just North of Fry Blvd after turning on to it from Fry. A silver in color pickup bearing an AZ license plate of was traveling North as well, just in front of us. Numerous items (necklaces) were observed hanging from the rearview mirror of the vehicle which would obstruct or reduce a driver's clear view through the windshield, in violation of ARS 28-959.01B. The license plate of the vehicle was provided to CCSO Dispatch as well as the anticipated location of stop. The emergency lights were activated, and a traffic stop was affected on the vehicle just North of the intersection of Fry Blvd and Avenida Escuela.

Det. Reibschied and your affiant exited his vehicle and approached the pickup. Your affiant approached on the passenger side and made contact with a male subject. Your affiant asked the male if he had his ID on him to which he advised that he did not. Your affiant asked for his name and he advised that it was Manuel Salais. As your affiant attempted to spell his last name he ultimately requested my notepad and spelled his last name as "Salais". It should be noted that Manuel spoke Spanish but appeared to speak a small amount of English. Your affiant asked him if there were any "armas y drogas" (weapons and drugs) to which he replied "No, nada" (No, nothing). He then went on to tell me that there was one knife and showed me a multitool on his belt. Your affiant asked where they were headed, and he advised that they were going to Lowes. Your affiant requested he exit the vehicle to which he complied and met me by the front of Det. Reibschied's patrol vehicle. It should be noted that Manuel walked straight back with me to the patrol vehicle and stood near the front passenger tire. Manuel never moved from that location during the entirety of the investigation. Once at the vehicle your affiant left Manuel with Det. Reibschied. Your affiant returned to the pickup and met with the driver who had identified herself as Michele Aranzullo to Det. Reibschied. As your affiant spoke to Michele he asked if she knew who the male subject was and she advised that she only knew his nickname and that it was "Chico" Your affiant advised her that he had said that she was his "girl" and she denied it advising that he was a friend who did work in her yard. Your affiant requested Michele exit and stand near Det. Reibschied patrol vehicle to which she complied. Once at the patrol vehicle Michele stood off to the side of the front passenger door on a sidewalk/dirt area.

Your affiant spoke to Manuel again and asked if he had an AZ driver's license and advised that it was a Mexican one from Sonora. During this time Manuel advised that Michele was his "lady", not much more could be gleaned due to the language barrier. Your affiant asked Manuel for consent to search his pockets to which he agreed. Prior to searching, an empty medical Marijuana container fell from his person. Manuel said "Si" (yes) when your affiant asked to search his pockets. Your affiant conducted a brief search finding nothing other than more knives. During this time, Det. Reibschied was in the process of completing a written warning for Michele. Your affiant overheard him speaking to Michele and asking if she would consent to a search of her vehicle which she denied. Det. Reibschied then asked your affiant if he could continue completing the written warning. He also advised that he found nothing identifying "Manuel Salais". Your affiant began filling out the warning and Det. Reibschied removed his canine partner from his vehicle and conducted a free air sniff of the pickup. Your affiant began completing the warning at which point in time he had a clear view of both Manuel and Michele. As Det. Reibschied conducted the free air sniff of the vehicle he observed him provide a toy to Canine Geo. In working with Det. Reibschied your affiant knows this to mean that Canine Geo provided an alert to Det. Reibschied. Det. Reibschied then returned to his patrol vehicle and began speaking to Michele.

As Manuel had no means of identification, advised that he had a Sonoran license, and because Det. Reibschled advised he could not locate identifying information for him, your affiant used the CCSO Spillman System to search for his provided DOB of 08-20-66. Your affiant observed a return for the name of Manuel Bernardo Bracamonte Lopez in the system which advised that he had a valid warrant for his arrest. Your affiant asked what Manuel's middle name was and he advised that it was "Lopez". He went on to clarify advising that it was "Salais-Lopez". Your affiant ran the name Manuel Bracamonte-Lopez and observed that the warrant was a valid misdemeanor warrant. Your affiant also noted that the height, weight, eye color, and hair color listed on the warrant matched Manuel. Further, Manuel later advised that he weighed 136 lbs, and the warrant listed his weight as 135 lbs. During this time Det. Reibschied was searching the pickup. I learned that he had located a cold, almost full, open Budlight can inside the center console of the vehicle. He also located a cup in the cupholder which held a liquid that had an odor of an intoxicating beverage. It should be noted that upon initial contact with Manuel and during the entirety of the investigation the odor of intoxicants emanated from his person. Michele made it known that they had just came from Circle K and that she had not observed Manuel open the beer.

Det. Reibschied then located a burnt portion of a "joint" (Manijuana cigarette) in the vehicle. Your affiant later learned that the "Joint" was located underneath the radio in a compartment. Your affiant also overheard Det. Reibschied advised that the "Joint" had lipstick on it. As your affiant felt that Manuel was providing him with a false last name and the fact the CCSO Spillman System had him listed as an undocurrented alien, He requested Border Patrol respond to the stop. Your affiant asked Manuel if he drank Budlight in the vehicle and he advised that he drank it at work. Your affiant asked Manuel if Michele was his wife and advised that she was his lady. Your affiant asked if they lived together and he advised that he lived in Mexico. Your affiant asked

if he was a U.S. citizen and he said "no". Det. Reibschied asked if had his border crossing card to which he said no. At this point in time Manuel was placed into handcuffs. It should be noted that other than talking to Det. Reibschied, Michele never moved from the aforementioned area in which she was standing. Det. Reibschied then requested Michele empty her pockets and take her shoes off to which she complied, and nothing was located.

Det. Reibschied then moved away towards the front of his vehicle. Your affiant turned my back to Michele for a brief moment before turning back around. As your affiant turned around he observed a plastic baggie on the ground directly behind Michele. He walked over to it and noted that there was a second baggie just next to also directly behind Michele, about a foot or two from her person. Your affiant noted that both baggies contained a powdery white substance and that both baggies were knotted at the top. The baggies appeared to be new and unweathered with no rain on them. It had been raining on and off during the day but had not been raining during the course of the stop. Also, due to the light weight of the bags, and the wind gusts present, it is unlikely that the bags would be in the open area that they were for long without being blown away. The two bags sat approximately a foot apart from each other. It should be noted that during the course of the investigation your affiant was chewing tobacco. He had regularly spit in the same dirt area where the bags were observed and saw nothing there. Further, he later reviewed his body camera footage and observed that the bags were not present during the time that Det. Reibschied had been searching the pickup. The bags were collected and placed into Det. Reibschied's patrol vehicle. Michele was then placed into handcuffs.

Your affiant walked Michele to the back of Det. Reibschied's patrol vehicle and read her, her Miranda Warnings which she acknowledged she understood. He asked if she had observed Manuel drink Bud Light within the vehicle and she advised that he may have opened it within the vehicle but that she did not know. Your affiant had overheard her advise that her son had a medical marijuana card which she confirmed that it was her son-in-law. Michele went on to tell your affiant that she did not know about the "joint", that she did not have Cocaine on her person, and that she was unaware of the Cocaine located directly behind her person. Dep. Gilbert then arrived on scene to assist with transport. During this time, your affiant observed a piece of paper in Manuel's property with the nickname "Nano" on it. He asked who "Nano" was and he advised that it was him and that he was not "Chico". Dep. Gilbert then transported Michele to the CCSO Sierra Vista Detention center where she was booked. USBP then arrived on scene and spoke to Manuel. They advised that a detainer would be sent to the CCSO Sierra Vista Jail for Manuel as he was an undocumented alien.

Your affiant completed a further search of the vehicle and located a cellphone in a pink purse which was sitting on the driver's seat. He noted that on the lock screen was a picture of Michele. The phone was gathered as evidence. It should be noted that during the investigation Det. Reibschied had confirmed Manuel's warrant with CCSO Dispatch and was advised that it was confirmed. Dep. Gilbert then returned to the scene and transported Manuel to the CCSO Sierra Vista Jail. After the vehicle had been towed, Det. Reibschied and your affiant responded back to the CCSO Sierra Vista Substation. At the CCSO Sierra Vista Substation Dep. Gilbert met with your affiant and advised that illegal drugs

had been located on Manuel during processing within the detention center. He went on to tell your affiant that a small tied bag of white powdery substance was located in Manuel's front right pant pocket, a small bag of Marijuana was located in his left breast jacket packet, and a partially burnt joint was found inside his wallet. Dep. Gilbert then provided the items to your affiant. Your affiant noted that the baggie of the white powdery substance was in a knotted plastic bag and that it was the same as the two other bags found on scene during the traffic stop.

The evidentiary items located were later weighed and field tested using a narcotics identification kit labeled for Cocaine. Only one baggie was field tested, and it displayed a positive result for Cocaine. The two baggies found on scene had a gross field weight (GFW) of approximately 1.2g and .2g, and the baggie found on Manuel had a GFW of .5g. The baggie of Manjuana found on Manuel had a GFW of 2g.

Your affiant knows, from prior training and experience in investigating drug crimes, that the aforementioned facts and circumstances show that Aranzullo was actively engaged in the possession of Cocaine. Further, the fact that two bags were located lends hand to the possibility that it was being transported to be delivered to someone else. Further, your affiant knows that a person who possesses illicit narcotics typically obtain it from others and tend to contact their drug dealer via the use of electronic communication. The contents of the phone recovered will contain evidence necessary for the further investigation of Aranzullo and Bracamonte-Lopez. Suppliers and electronic information tying Aranzullo to the Cocaine, should be identifiable though a successful extraction from the phone.

It has also been my experience that individuals who store and use drugs will have communication devices, such as cell phones, that are used to contact sources of supply, and will often times use these devices to take and receive photographs of drugs or them using. They will also discuss through texts, emails and chats, the acquirement and other practices involved in this trade.

It is your affiant's experience that such individuals rely on communicating through increasingly sophisticated networks of electronic communication including cellular phones. Often times, contained within the electronic memory of these telephones are the names and telephone numbers of sources of supply. The electronic information within the cellular telephone(s) and electronic storage devices could help identify potential dealers and relationships between people not yet identified, who assist or associate with specific dealers. The communication between dealers and clients can be by voice, text, video, instant messaging, push to talk "Walkie-Talkie style" communication and photographs to avoid police detection.

Your affiant believes there is probable cause and requests permission and authorization to manipulate the single cellular telephone described for the purpose of identifying the telephone number of the identified telephone(s), as well as the names, telephone numbers of possible dealers, evidence of the commission of a drug offense and any other evidentiary items stored within this cellular phone.

#### Investigators intention to provide service of the warrant:

The device(s) listed in this warrant and affidavit were taken into custody by the Sheriff's Office at the time of the arrest of Michelle Aranzullo and the original owners have knowledge that the phones are in police custody. The service of the warrant will be served in a manner that should the owners wish to reclaim the devices upon their release; a copy of the warrant will be placed in the individual evidence bags along with the device and/or will be provided to the prosecuting attorneys for immediate discovery.

Therefore, your affiant requests that a search warrant be issued commanding that an immediate search be made of the property described herein for purpose of examining and documenting the property and things herein described, and that the same be retained in the custody of the agency the affiant represents and disposed of according to law,

pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 13-3911 - 13-3924.

Detective Ryan Olmstead #1419

Cochise County Sheriff's Office, Detective

Street Crimes Unit

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 4th Day of January 2019

COCHISE COUNTY JUSTICE COURT, PRECINCT NO 5.